

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/579,661	PROSSER, MICHAEL	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ANDREW YANG	3775	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ANDREW YANG.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) BORIS MATVENKO.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 May 2009

**Time:** 1:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8-10, 20, 23 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claims 8-10, 23, and 24 had improper dependencies and claim 20 had a typo. Attorney fixed the dependencies and the typo.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew Yang/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3775

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)